arXiv:1610.01621v1 [math.AC] 24 Aug 2016

IDEAS ABOUT THE JACOBIAN CONJECTURE

VERED MOSKOWICZ

ABSTRACT. Let $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism that has an invertible Jacobian.

We bring two ideas concerning the Jacobian Conjecture: First, we conjecture that for all n, the degree of the field extension $\mathbb{C}(F(x_1), \ldots, F(x_n)) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is less than or equal to d^{n-1}, where d is the minimum of the degrees of the $F(x_i)$'s. If this conjecture is true, then the generalized Jacobian Conjecture is true.

Second, we suggest to replace in some known theorems the assumption on the degrees of the $F(x_i)$'s by a similar assumption on the degrees of the minimal polynomials of the x_i's over $\mathbb{C}(F(x_1), \ldots, F(x_n))$; this way we obtain some analogous results to the known ones.

1 Introduction

Throughout this note, $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ will always denote a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism that satisfies $\mathrm{Jac}(F(x_1), \ldots, F(x_n)) \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Denote, $F_i = F(x_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The famous n-dimensional Jacobian Conjecture, denote it by $(\mathbb{C}, n)$-JC or by n-JC, raised by O. H. Keller [23] in 1939, says that such F is an automorphism. It is easy to see that the 1-JC is true. However, it seems that for every $n \geq 2$, the n-JC is still open. For more details, see, for example, [5], [15], [14] and [16]. The generalized Jacobian Conjecture, denote it by $(\mathbb{C}, \infty)$-JC or by ∞-JC, is: The n-JC is true for all $n \geq 1$.

We bring two main ideas concerning the Jacobian Conjecture.

The first idea: We conjecture "the n-Degree Conjecture", namely, we conjecture that the degree of the field extension $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is less than or equal to d^{n-1}, where d is the minimum of the degrees of $F_1, \ldots, F_n$. Then we show in Proposition 3.5 that if the n-Degree Conjecture is true for all n, call this "the ∞-Degree Conjecture", then the ∞-JC is true. The converse is trivially true, hence the ∞-Degree Conjecture is equivalent to the ∞-JC. (Caution: If the n-JC is true, then trivially the n-Degree Conjecture is true, but not vice-versa, as far as we can see). This idea was inspired by the main result in Y. Zhang's thesis [42], which says that, in our words, the 2-Degree Conjecture is true, and by van den Essen's observation [15, page 287, lines 6-9] about a theorem of Lang and Maslamani [24].

Without using Essen's observation we have Proposition 3.8 and its corollary 3.9; for the proof of the corollary we use the density theorem [35, Theorem 1.4 (ii)] and take the opportunity to shortly discuss other density theorems (which are independent of the ∞-Degree Conjecture).

The second idea: We replace in some known theorems the assumption on the degrees of the F_i's by a similar assumption on the degrees of the minimal polynomials of the x_i's over $\mathbb{C}(F(x_1), \ldots, F(x_n))$. Denote the degree of the minimal polynomial of x_i over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ by d_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14R15.

- Theorem 4.1, inspired by Wang's quadratic case theorem [36, Theorem 62], says: If there exists a subset $A \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ of order $n-1$ such that for every $j \in A$, $d_j \leq 2$, then F is an automorphism.
- Theorem 4.8, inspired by Magnus' theorem [25] [16, Theorem 10.2.24], says: If $\gcd(d_u, d_v) = 1$, $1 \leq u \neq v \leq n$, for each of the $\binom{n}{2}$ pairs of degrees, then F is an automorphism. Notice that Magnus' theorem deals with $n = 2$, while Theorem 4.8 deals with any $n \geq 2$.
- When $n = 2$, we have Theorem 4.7 inspired by [16, Corollary 10.2.25 and Theorem 10.2.26]: If $\gcd(d_1, d_2) = 1$ or $\gcd(d_1, d_2) = p$, for some prime $p \geq 2$, and the coefficients of the minimal polynomial of x_1 over $\mathbb{C}[F_1, F_2]$ are all symmetric or skew-symmetric with respect to the exchange involution $x_1 \mapsto x_2$, $x_2 \mapsto x_1$, then F is an automorphism.

2 Preliminaries

We wish to recall the following nice theorems which we will use throughout this note; truly, this note would not have been existed without those theorems.

In every theorem we will assume that $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism that satisfies $\mathrm{Jac}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Three theorems are only dealing with $n = 2$: Zhang's theorem, the theorem of Cheng-Macky-Wang and Magnus' theorem (and its corollary and its generalizations).

In some theorems $\mathbb{C}$ can be replaced by a more general ring which is:

- an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero: Zhang's theorem, a theorem of Cheng-Macky-Wang (they were working over $\mathbb{C}$; we can show that their theorem is still valid over any algebraically closed field of characteristic zero).
- a field of characteristic zero: Formanek's theorem, Magnus' theorem and its corollary, the Galois case.
- any field: Keller's theorem ([16, Corollary 1.1.35] and [5, Theorem 2.1]).
- a UFD with $2 \neq 0$: Wang's quadratic case theorem, Wang's intersection theorem.
- a commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra: A theorem of Bass-Connell-Wright-Yagzhev-Drużkowski.

However, working over $\mathbb{C}$ is good enough since if the $(\mathbb{C}, n)$-JC is true, then the (R, n)-JC is true, where R is any domain of characteristic zero; see [16, Proposition 1.1.12 and Lemma 1.1.14] ([16, Proposition 1.1.12] is a result of Bass, Connell and Wright [5]).

(1) Keller's theorem "the birational case" [23], [16, Corollary 1.1.35] and [5, Theorem 2.1]: If $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, then F is an automorphism.

In other words, if the degree of the field extension $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is 1, then F is an automorphism (and obviously, if F is an automorphism, then the degree of that field extension is 1).

(2) Zhang's theorem [42]: The degree of the field extension $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2)$ is less than or equal to the minimum of the degrees of F_1 and F_2. A generalization of Zhang's theorem, still in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ but without demanding that the Jacobian will be invertible, can be found in [22], see also [19].

(3) Formanek's field of fractions theorem (we will also quote another theorem of Formanek) [18, Theorem 2]: $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. The $n = 2$ case was already proved by Moh [28, page 151] and by Hamann [20, Lemma 2.1, Proposition 2.1(2)] (Moh and Hamann assumed that F_1 is monic in x_2, but this is really not a restriction).

(4) Wang's quadratic case theorem (we will also quote another theorem of Wang) [36, Theorem 62]: If the degree of each F_i is ≤ 2, then F is an automorphism. See also [31], [39, Lemma 3.5], [5, Theorem 2.4] and [14, page 8, Theorem 2.2].

(5) A theorem of Bass-Connell-Wright-Yagzhev-Drużkowski [5] [40] [10], see also [16, page 125] and [12, Theorem 4.3]: If for all $n \geq 2$, F of the following form is an automorphism, then the ∞-JC is true: $F_i = x_i + H_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ where each H_i is either zero or homogeneous of degree 3 (Drużkowski: where each H_i is either zero or a third power of a linear form).

(6) Essen's observation [15, page 287, lines 6-9]: If for all $n \geq 2$, F of the following form is an automorphism, then the ∞-JC is true: $F_i = l_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, l_i is linear, and $F_i = x_i + M_i$, $r+1 \leq i \leq n$, M_i is a monomial.

Essen mentions this, without a proof, as a consequence of a theorem of Lang and Maslamani [24]; their theorem says that F of the following form is an automorphism: $F_i = x_i + \lambda_i M_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$, and M_i is a monomial.

(7) A theorem of Cheng-Macky-Wang; C-M-W's theorem [38, Theorem 1]: If $h \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ satisfies $\mathrm{Jac}(F_1, h) = 0$, then $h \in \mathbb{C}[x_1]$.

In other words, C-M-W's theorem says that "the centralizer with respect to the Jacobian" of an element $A \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ which has a Jacobian mate equals $\mathbb{C}[A]$, where a Jacobian mate of an element $A \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ is an element $B \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ such that $\mathrm{Jac}(A, B) \in \mathbb{C}^*$. (Here $A = F_1$ and $B = F_2$). See also [16, Exercise 2.2.5].

(8) Density theorem; mentioned by Truong [35, Theorem 1.4 (ii)], see also [8]: If there exists a dense subset of Drużkowski mappings, in which every element is an automorphism, then the ∞-JC is true.

(9) Wang's intersection theorem [36, Theorem 41 (i)] [16, Corollary 1.1.34 (ii)]: $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) \cap \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$. A generalization of it, due to Bass, can be found in [6, Remark after Corollary 1.3, page 74] [16, Proposition D.1.7].

(10) A theorem of Jedrzejewicz and Zieliński [21, Theorem 3.6]: Let A be a UFD. Let R be a subring of A such that $R^* = A^*$ and $Q(R) \cap A = R$. If R is square-factorially closed in A, then R is root closed in A.

A subring R of a UFD A is called *square-factorially closed* in A, if for every $a \in A$ and square-free $b \in A$ (see [21, page 5]), $a^2 b \in R - 0$ implies that $a, b \in R - 0$; see [21, Definition 3.5].

A subring R of a ring A is called *root closed* in A, if for every $a \in A$ and every $m \geq 1$, if $a^m \in R$, then $a \in R$.

Based on the results in [21], it is not difficult to obtain the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism that satisfies $\mathrm{Jac}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Then $\mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$ is root closed in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.*

Hamann in [20, Proposition 2.11] has proved a special case of Lemma 2.1.

Proof. Follows immediately from [21, Theorem 2.4, Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.6] which we can apply here thanks to Wang's intersection theorem. □

(11) Formanek's theorem [17, Theorem 1]: Suppose that there is a polynomial F_{n+1} in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that $\mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n, F_{n+1}] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Then F is an automorphism.

(12) Magnus' theorem [25]: If the greatest common divisor of the degrees of F_1 and F_2 is 1, then F is an automorphism, and the degree of F_1 is 1 or the degree of F_2 is 1.

Its corollary [16, Corollary 10.2.25] is: If the degree of F_1 or the degree of F_2 is a prime number, then F is an automorphism.

More results in that direction are: F is an automorphism, if the greatest common divisor of the degrees of F_1 and F_2 is:

- ≤ 2; Nakai and Baba [4].
- ≤ 8 or a prime number; Appelgate and Onishi [3] and Nagata [30].

Those results can be found in Essen's book [16, pages 254-256] (see also Moh's theorem [16, Theorem 10.2.30] [28]).

(13) The Galois case: If $\mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is Galois over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$, then F is an automorphism.

This was first proved by Campbell [7]. The $n = 2$ case was proved by Abhyankar [1, Theorem 21.11]. Other proofs are due to Razar [32], Wright [39] and Oda [31].

This result is equivalent to other results, for example, it is equivalent to Keller's theorem and to the integral case; see [36, Theorem 46], [37, Theorem 8], [5, Theorem 2.1] and [16, Theorem 2.2.16].

3 First idea: The ∞-Degree Conjecture implies the ∞-JC

Inspired by Zhang's theorem, we conjecture the following:

Conjecture 3.1 (The n-Degree Conjecture)**.** *Let $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism that has an invertible Jacobian. Let d be the minimum of the degrees of $F_1, \ldots, F_n$. Then the degree of the field extension $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is less than or equal to d^{n-1}.*

Remark 3.2. Wang's Degree Conjecture [36, Degree Conjecture 63] is different from our n-Degree Conjecture. Wang's Degree Conjecture is connected to Wang's quadratic case theorem, and was shown in [5, Corollary 1.4] to be true.

The 2-Degree Conjecture has an affirmative answer, due to Zhang's theorem. We do not know yet if the $n \geq 3$ case also has an affirmative answer. On the one hand, Zhang and Katsylo have not mentioned higher dimensions, maybe because it seemed to them difficult to generalize their results to higher dimensions. On the other hand, if Zhang had known about Formanek's field of fractions theorem and Abhyankar's result [2, Corollary 2.6] (which is a corollary to Bertini Lemma [2, Bertini Lemma 2.5]; Zhang used results of Bertini in his proof), both published 3 years later, then perhaps he would have generalized his result to $n \geq 3$.

We now bring a sketch of proof to the n-Degree Conjecture. We do not claim that it is a proof! It is just what we were able to obtain from Zhang's theorem and other theorems, and it contains some gaps. If the ∞-JC is true, then we think that it is possible to prove those gaps, but if the ∞-JC is false, then by Proposition 3.5 those gaps do not have a proof.

A sketch of Proof. **First observation:** In order to prove the n-Degree Conjecture for $n \geq 3$ (if it is true), it suffices to prove that the degree of the minimal polynomial of x_i over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ is less than or equal to d, for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Indeed, assume that we have proved that the degree of the minimal polynomial of x_i over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ is less than or equal to d. From Formanek's field of fractions theorem we have $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Therefore, by the multiplicativity of degrees of field extensions, we obtain that the degree of the field extension $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is less than or equal to d^{n-1}.

We hope that it is possible to prove that the degree of the minimal polynomial of x_i over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ is less than or equal to d.

Second observation: Let us explain why we think that Formanek's field of fractions theorem and Abhyankar's result may help in generalizing Zhang's theorem

to $n \geq 3$. [42, Lemma 2] says the following: Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let $p(x_1, x_2), q(x_1, x_2) \in k[x_1, x_2]$ such that:

(i) p and q are algebraically independent over k and monic in x_2.
(ii) The field $k(q)$ is algebraically closed in the field $k(x_1, x_2)$.
(iii) $k(p, q, x_1) = k(x_1, x_2)$.

Then there exists a constant $c \in k$ such that $[k(x_1, x_2) : k(p, q)] = [k(\bar{x_1}, \bar{x_2}) : k(p(\bar{x_1}, \bar{x_2}))]$, where $\bar{x_1}$ and $\bar{x_2}$ are the images of x_1 and x_2 under the quotient map: $k[x_1, x_2] \to k[x_1, x_2]/(q(x_1, x_2) - c)$.

Now, let $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism that satisfies $\mathrm{Jac}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

(i) $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}$, since the Jacobian is non-zero (see, for example, [26, pages 19-20] or [33, Proposition 6A.4]). It is easy to arrange that $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ are monic in x_n; just multiply F by a suitable automorphism G: $G(x_i) = x_i + x_n^{m_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $G(x_n) = x_n$, and then work with FG instead of F.
(ii) In Abhyankar's notations [2, Bertini Lemma 2.5, Corollary 2.6] take: $F = \mathbb{C}$, $K = \mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$, $E = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Obviously, $\mathbb{C}$ is algebraically closed in $\mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. From [2, Corollary 2.6] there exist $y_1, \ldots, y_n$, linear combinations of $F_1, \ldots, F_n$, such that $\mathbb{C}[y_1, \ldots, y_n] = \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$ and $\mathbb{C}(y_2, \ldots, y_n)$ is algebraically closed in $\mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ are algebraically independent, because $\mathbb{C}[y_1, \ldots, y_n] = \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$. Denote $y_i = c_{i,1}F_1 + \ldots + c_{i,n}F_n$, $c_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}$ $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Define the following automorphism H: $H(x_i) = c_{i,1}x_1 + \ldots + c_{i,n}x_n$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then work with FH instead of F: $(FH)(x_i) = F(H(x_i)) = F(c_{i,1}x_1 + \ldots + c_{i,n}x_n) = c_{i,1}F(x_1) + \ldots + c_{i,n}F(x_n) = c_{i,1}F_1 + \ldots + c_{i,n}F_n = y_i$. By the Chain Rule, the Jacobian of FH is also in $\mathbb{C}^*$. $\mathbb{C}(y_2, \ldots, y_n)$ is algebraically closed in $\mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, and this is exactly what we needed to prove. So if we return to our previous notations, we obtained that $\mathbb{C}(F_2, \ldots, F_n)$ is algebraically closed in $\mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.

Observe that Zhang used other methods in his proof for the $n = 2$ case, see [42, Lemma 4].
(iii) $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ by Formanek's field of fractions theorem.

Third observation: What should be the conclusion in a generalized [42, Lemma 2]? In the second observation we have seen that the three generalized conditions $(i), (ii), (iii)$ are satisfied. Perhaps the conclusion is: There exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $[\mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) : \mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)] = [\mathbb{C}(\bar{x_1}, \ldots, \bar{x_n}) : \mathbb{C}(F_1(\bar{x_1}, \ldots, \bar{x_n}))]$, where $\bar{x_1}, \ldots, \bar{x_n}$ are the images of $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ under the map: $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(F_2(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \cdots F_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) - c)$.

One has to be careful if this is really the correct generalization. (It seems that it is necessary that the quotient ring will be an integral domain, hence the ideal $(F_2(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \cdots F_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) - c)$ needs to be a prime ideal, so its generator needs to be irreducible).

Fourth observation: The arguments in [42, pages 14-15] should be generalized. We hope that the results in algebraic function theory can be applied here also (this seems quite difficult; especially generalizing [42, III, page 10]).

□

Remarks 3.3 (Two remarks about the second observation in the sketch of proof). **I** The generalized condition (i) and the generalized condition (ii) can be satisfied simultaneously, despite the fact that in (i) we take FG and in (ii) we take FH (G is a triangular automorphism, while H is a linear automorphism; most probably

$G \neq H$). More precisely: First, we work with FG instead of F (G is as in (i)), and then we move to work with FGH instead of FG (H is as in (ii)). By our choice of G, $(FG)(x_1), \ldots, (FG)(x_n)$ are monic in x_n. Write $(FG)(x_i) = e_i x_n^{m_i} + \cdots$, where $e_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $m_i \geq 0$ and $\cdots = \sum_{j=0}^{m_i - 1} e_{i,j} x_n^j$ with $e_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$. We claim that $(FGH)(x_1), \ldots, (FGH)(x_n)$ are still monic in x_n; more accurately, one can find a suitable linear automorphism H (which depends on G) such that $(FGH)(x_1), \ldots, (FGH)(x_n)$ are still monic in x_n. Indeed, we have $(FGH)(x_i) = (FG)(H(x_i)) = (FG)(c_{i,1}x_1 + \ldots + c_{i,n}x_n) = c_{i,1}(FG)(x_1) + \ldots + c_{i,n}(FG)(x_n)$. W.l.o.g, $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \ldots \geq m_n$ and $m := m_1 = \ldots = m_r$, for some $r \geq 1$. Hence we have, $(FGH)(x_i) = c_{i,1}(e_1 x_n^{m_1} + \cdots) + \ldots + c_{i,n}(e_n x_n^{m_n} + \cdots) = c_{i,1}e_1 x_n^m + \ldots + c_{i,r}e_r x_n^m + \cdots = c_i x_n^m + \cdots$, where $c_i := c_{i,1}e_1 + \ldots + c_{i,r}e_r$, and $\cdots$ has x_n-degree strictly less than m. There are two options:

- For every $1 \leq i \leq n$, $c_i \neq 0$. Then for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, $(FGH)(x_i) = c_i x_n^m + \cdots$ is monic in x_n.
- There exists a subset $A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that for every $l \in A$, $c_l = 0$. Hence, for an "arbitrary" H it may happen that some of the $(FGH)(x_j)$'s are not monic in x_n. Luckily, since there are infinitely many good options for a linear automorphism H as in (ii), it is possible to take a suitable H for which all the c_i's are non-zero.

II In the generalized condition (ii) we have mentioned Bertini-Abhyankar's result [2, Bertini Lemma 2.5, Corollary 2.6] which is relevant to [21, First section]. However, when $n = 3$ for example, we are only able to obtain that for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ (actually, for infinitely many $\mathbb{C} \ni \lambda$'s), $\mathbb{C}[x_3][F_1 + \lambda F_2]$, is algebraically closed in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$, but not that $\mathbb{C}[F_1, F_2]$ is algebraically closed in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$, where $F_1, F_2 \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ with $\mathrm{Jac}(F_1, F_2) \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Indeed, take $F = \mathbb{C}(x_3)$, $K = \mathbb{C}(x_3)(F_1, F_2)$ and $E = \mathbb{C}(x_3)(x_1, x_2)$. The proof of [2, Bertini Lemma 2.5] shows that $\mathbb{C}(x_3)(F_1 + \lambda F_2)$ is algebraically closed in $\mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$. Then by [9, Exercise 1.4 (1)] combined with a result of Bass [6, Remark after Corollary 1.3, page 74] [16, Proposition D.1.7] that generalizes Wang's intersection theorem, we obtain that $\mathbb{C}[x_3][F_1 + \lambda F_2]$ is algebraically closed in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$.

Next, similarly to the definition of the ∞-JC, we define the ∞-Degree Conjecture:

Conjecture 3.4 (The ∞-Degree Conjecture)**.** *The n-Degree Conjecture is true for all $n \geq 2$.*

The importance of finding an affirmative answer (if possible) to the ∞-Degree Conjecture is clear from the following proposition:

Proposition 3.5. *If the ∞-Degree Conjecture is true, then the ∞-JC is true.*

Proof. By Essen's observation it suffices to show that for all $n \geq 2$, a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ having an invertible Jacobian and of the following form is an automorphism: $F_i = l_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, l_i is linear, and $F_i = x_i + M_i$, $r + 1 \leq i \leq n$, M_i is a monomial.

Fix $n \geq 2$. We show that such F is an automorphism; indeed for such F we have $d = 1$, because F_1 has degree 1. We assumed that the ∞-Degree Conjecture is true, hence the degree of the field extension $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is 1, so, $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Finally, Keller's theorem implies that F is an automorphism. □

Trivially, the converse of Proposition 3.5 is also true; actually it is slightly stronger than the converse of Proposition 3.5. Notice that in Proposition 3.5 it was critical to consider all $n \geq 2$ simultaneously, since we applied Essen's observation; even for $n = 2$ we do not see why the 2-Degree Conjecture (which is true) should imply the two-dimensional Jacobian Conjecture.

Proposition 3.6. *If the n-JC is true, then the n-Degree Conjecture is true. Therefore, if the ∞-JC is true, then the ∞-Degree Conjecture is true.*

Proof. Let $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism having an invertible Jacobian. The n-JC is true, so F is an automorphism: $\mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Then $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, so the degree of the field extension $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ equals 1, and trivially $1 \leq d^{n-1}$ (d is the minimum of the degrees of $F_1, \ldots, F_n$, so $d \geq 1$). The second statement is clear. □

Therefore we have:

Theorem 3.7. *The ∞-Degree Conjecture is equivalent to the ∞-JC.*

3.1 First idea without Essen's observation; density theorem

Without using Essen's observation, we can have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.8. *Assume that the n-Degree Conjecture is true. If a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ has an invertible Jacobian and if (at least) one of its F_j's has degree 1, then F is an automorphism.*

Proof. The assumption that (at least) one of the F_j's has degree 1 implies that $d = 1$. By assumption the n-Degree Conjecture is true, hence the degree of the field extension $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is $\leq d^{n-1} = 1^{n-1} = 1$. So, $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, and by Keller's theorem F is an automorphism. □

Let $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism that has (w.l.o.g.) Jacobian 1, and let $F_1 = \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2 + u$, where $\alpha, \beta, u \in \mathbb{C}$. It is not difficult to show that F is an automorphism, without using Zhang's theorem (= the 2-Degree Conjecture is true). Indeed, define $g(x_1) := \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2 + u = F_1$ and $g(x_2) := \gamma x_1 + \delta x_2 + v$, where $\gamma, \delta, v \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = 1$. Trivially, g is an automorphism. Now, $0 = 1 - 1 = \mathrm{Jac}(F_1, F_2) - \mathrm{Jac}(g(x_1), g(x_2)) = \mathrm{Jac}(F_1, F_2 - g(x_2))$. By C-M-W's theorem, $F_2 - g(x_2) \in \mathbb{C}[F_1]$. Hence, $F_2 = g(x_2) + \sum c_i F_1^i$ for some $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$. It is clear that $F_1 = \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2 + u$, $F_2 = \gamma x_1 + \delta x_2 + v + \sum c_i F_1^i$ with $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = 1$ is an automorphism.

However, when $n \geq 3$ we are not familiar with a result (except our Proposition 3.8 which assumes the n-Degree Conjecture) which states that if F has an invertible Jacobian and if (at least) one of its F_j's has degree 1, then F is an automorphism. Even if some kind of a generalized version to C-M-W's theorem when $n \geq 3$ do exist (this is probably connected to the kernel conjecture, see, for example, [14, Conjecture 6.1]), it seems that it will not be enough to demand that one of the F_j's has degree 1 and instead one should demand that $n-1$ F_j's will have degree 1; but this is too restrictive.

As a corollary to Proposition 3.8 and thanks to the density theorem, we have:

Corollary 3.9. *Assume that the ∞-Degree Conjecture is true. Let D_1 be the subset of Drużkowski mappings such that every element in D_1 has (at least) one of its F_j's of degree 1. Assume that D_1 is dense in the set of all Drużkowski mappings. Then the ∞-JC is true.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.8, every element in D_1 is an automorphism. By assumption D_1 is dense, so we can apply the density theorem and get that the ∞-JC is true. □

T. T. Truong [35, Remarks after Theorem 1.4] suggests to apply the density theorem [35, Theorem 1.4 (ii)] to a result of D. Yan [41] in which every element on the diagonal of A is non-zero, while in our Corollary 3.9 (at least) one row of A consists of zeros only, so (at least) one element on the diagonal of A is zero (see

also [11], [12, Theorem 4.4] and [13, Theorem 1.1]). We wish to quote [35, page 25, Remarks 2]: "Rusek [34] showed that the matrices of corank exactly 1 is not dense in the set of Drużkowski matrices". However, the set of matrices associated to our D_1 contains matrices of corank $\in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n-1, n\}$, so there is some hope that D_1 is dense in the set of Drużkowski matrices. Anyway (whether D_1 is dense or not), if we use Essen's observation, then we get Proposition 3.5, which does not contain any assumptions on density. The following idea is independent of the ∞-Degree Conjecture; however, we decided to bring it now since it is very similar to the density theorem: Denote by E_n the set of endomorphisms $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ having an invertible Jacobian and of the following form: $F_i = l_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, l_i is linear, and $F_i = x_i + M_i$, $r+1 \leq i \leq n$, M_i is a monomial. Denote $E = \cup E_n$. Essen's observation says that if every element in E is an automorphism, then the ∞-JC is true. Denote by L_n the subset of E_n consisting of those endomorphisms having each $l_i = x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. Denote $L = \cup L_n$. From the theorem of Lang and Maslamani, every element in L is an automorphism. We imitate the density theorem and get a "second density theorem": If there exists a dense subset of E, in which every element is an automorphism, then the ∞-JC is true. We have not yet tried to prove the second density theorem; if it is provable, then it may be worth to try to show that L is dense in E.

Another idea independent of the ∞-Degree Conjecture is [29, page 17, 5 lines after Proposition 3.4]; it is an unproved density theorem, which (if true) implies that the Dixmier Conjecture is true. It seems that a similar result can be obtained for $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$, namely, a similar density theorem to [29, page 17, 5 lines after Proposition 3.4], which (if true) implies that the two-dimensional Jacobian Conjecture is true.

4 Second idea: Considering degrees of the minimal polynomials of the x_i's instead of degrees of the F_i's

Given a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ that has an invertible Jacobian, we denote the degree of the minimal polynomial of x_i over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ by d_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

4.1 Wang's quadratic case theorem

Inspired by Wang's quadratic case theorem, we bring the following theorem, which we were able to prove thanks to the theorem of Jedrzejewicz and Zieliński and Wang's intersection theorem.

Theorem 4.1 (Our Wang's quadratic case theorem)**.** *Let $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism that has an invertible Jacobian. If there exists a subset $A \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ of order $n-1$ such that for every $j \in A$, the minimal polynomial of x_j over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ has degree $d_j \leq 2$, then F is an automorphism.*

If for some j, the minimal polynomial of x_j over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ has degree $= 2$, then F is *not* an automorphism; indeed, in such case, the degree of the field extension $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is at least 2, while for an automorphism the degree of that field extension must equal 1. Therefore, in Theorem 4.1 we just assume that each of those minimal polynomials has degree ≤ 2. Perhaps, instead of writing: "the minimal polynomial of x_j over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ has degree $d_j \leq 2$", we should have written: "there exists a polynomial $g_j = g_j(T) \in \mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)[T]$ of degree ≤ 2 such that $g_j(x_j) = 0$".

Of course, in Wang's quadratic case theorem, it is possible to have degrees of some F_j's exactly 2, for example, $x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y + x^2$, $z \mapsto z + y^2$.

Proof. W.l.o.g. $A = \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Fix $j \in A$. The minimal polynomial of x_j over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$, denote it $f_j = f_j(T)$, has degree $d_j \leq 2$.

If f_j is of degree 1, then it is of the following form: $f_j = T + a_j$, where $a_j \in \mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$. Hence $x_j = -a_j$. Then, by Wang's intersection theorem, $x_j \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$.

If f_j is of degree less than or equal to 2, then it is of the following form: $f_j = \tilde{a_j}T^2 + \tilde{b_j}T + \tilde{c_j}$, where $\tilde{a_j}, \tilde{b_j}, \tilde{c_j} \in \mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$. Clearly we can get $a_j x_j^2 + b_j x_j + c_j = 0$, for some $a_j, b_j, c_j \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$. For convenience, denote $a = a_j, b = b_j, c = c_j$. Then $a^2x_j^2 + abx_j + ac = 0$, so $(ax_j)^2 + 2(ax_j)(b/2) + b^2/4 - b^2/4 + ac = 0$, and we have $(ax_j + b/2)^2 = b^2/4 - ac \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$. By Lemma 2.1, $\mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$ is root closed in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, therefore, $ax_j + b/2 \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$. So $ax_j \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$, and then $x_j \in \mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$, and again by Wang's intersection theorem, $x_j \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$.

We obtained that for every $j \in A$, $x_j \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$.

Hence, $\mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n][x_n] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Finally, Formanek's theorem implies that F is an automorphism. □

Remark 4.2. When $n = 2$ it is 'immediate' that F is an automorphism: Indeed, by Formanek's field of fractions theorem, $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2, x_1) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2)$. If the minimal polynomial of x_1 over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2)$ has degree 1, then $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2)$, and we are done by Keller's theorem.

If the minimal polynomial of x_1 over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2)$ has degree 2, then the field extension $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2, x_1) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2)$ is Galois, since every separable field extension $k(a)/k$ of degree 2 is Galois: $(T-a)(T-b) = T^2-(a+b)T+ab \in k[T]$, so $a+b \in k$, and then $b \in k(a)$. Hence $k(a)$ is the splitting field of $T^2-(a+b)T+ab$ over k. We have recalled in the Preliminaries section that if $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2)$ is Galois, then F is an automorphism.

Theorem 4.1 can be thought of as some kind of an analogue result to that of Wang's quadratic case theorem. We wish to quote van den Essen [14, page 8, after Theorem 2.2]: "Now one could think that the above result is just a very special case of the Jacobian Conjecture, however we have the following theorem, proved independently by Yagzhev [40] and Bass, Connell and Wright [5]: If the Jacobian Conjecture holds for all polynomial maps F with the degree of each $F(x_i)$ less or equal 3, and all $n \geq 2$, then the generalized Jacobian Conjecture holds!".

In view of this, it may be interesting in Theorem 4.1 to replace ≤ 2 by ≤ 3 and try to prove that F is an automorphism. We have not yet succeeded to prove the degree ≤ 3 case, only some special cases of it. For example, F is an automorphism, if there exist $n-1$ polynomials $g_j \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n][T]$, $g_j(x_j) = 0$, $g_j = g_j(T) = a_jT^3 + b_jT^2 + c_jT + d_j$, such that each g_j is of one of the following forms:

(1) $a_j = 0$, so we reduce to the degree ≤ 2 case.
(2) $b_j^2 = 3a_jc_j$, since in that case, from $a_jx_j^3+b_jx_j^2+c_jx_j+d_j = 0$ we get $(a_jx_j+b_j/3)^3 = b_j^3/27 - a_j^2d_j \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$. Hence, by similar considerations to the ones we have already seen, we obtain $x_j \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$.

Generally, from $a_jx_j^3 + b_jx_j^2 + c_jx_j + d_j = 0$ with $a_j \neq 0$, we can easily get, $(a_jx_j + b_j/3)^3 + \epsilon(a_jx_j + b_j/3) + \delta = 0$, where $\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$. More precisely, $\epsilon = a_jc_j - b_j^2/3$ and $\delta = -b_j/3(a_jc_j - b_j^2/3) + a_j^2d_j - b_j^3/27$. Denote $\tilde{x_j} = a_jx_j + b_j/3$. The problem is that from ${\tilde{x_j}}^3 + \epsilon\tilde{x_j} + \delta = 0$ we do not know how to show that F is an automorphism.

Notice that if $\epsilon = 0$, then F is an automorphism by considerations we have already seen (Lemma 2.1 and Wang's intersection theorem). Actually, $\epsilon = 0$ is exactly the case we have dealt with in (2) above: $b_j^2 = 3a_jc_j$.

Also, it may be interesting to try to prove that the degree ≤ 3 case implies that the generalized Jacobian Conjecture is true; we have not yet tried to prove this implication.

Finally, we bring the following corollary to Theorem 4.1:

Corollary 4.3. *Let $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism that has an invertible Jacobian. If there exists a subset $A \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ of order $n-1$ and $\{m_j \geq 1\}_{j \in A}$, such that for every $j \in A$, the minimal polynomial of $x_j^{m_j}$ over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ has degree ≤ 2, then F is an automorphism.*

Proof. It is not difficult to adjust the proof of Theorem 4.1 to the current case; shortly, denote the minimal polynomial of $x_j^{m_j}$ over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ by $f_j = f_j(T)$.

If f_j is of degree 1, then $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \ni x_j^{m_j} = -a_j \in \mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$, so by Wang's intersection theorem, $x_j^{m_j} \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$, and then by Lemma 2.1, $x_j \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$.

If f_j is of degree ≤ 2, then $(ax_j^{m_j} + b/2)^2 = b^2/4 - ac \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$, so by Lemma 2.1, $ax_j^{m_j} + b/2 \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$, then $x_j^{m_j} \in \mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$, so by Wang's intersection theorem $x_j^{m_j} \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$, and again by Lemma 2.1, $x_j \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$. □

4.2 Magnus' theorem and some of its generalizations

Inspired by Magnus' theorem, we bring the following result, which we were able to prove thanks to Formanek's field of fractions theorem:

Theorem 4.4 (Our Magnus' theorem)**.** *Let $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism that has an invertible Jacobian. If $\gcd(d_1, d_2) = 1$, then F is an automorphism.*

Proof. From Formanek's field of fractions theorem, $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2, x_1) = \mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2, x_2) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2)$. Hence, the degree of the field extension $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2)$ equals the degree of the minimal polynomial of x_1 over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2)$, d_1, and equals the degree of the minimal polynomial of x_2 over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2)$, d_2, so we have $d_1 = d_2$. By our assumption that $\gcd(d_1, d_2) = 1$, we get that $d_1 = d_2 = 1$, hence $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2)$. Finally, Keller's theorem implies that F is an automorphism. □

Inspired by the theorem of Nakai and Baba, we show that in Theorem 4.4 we can take $\gcd(d_1, d_2) \leq 2$ and still get that F is an automorphism:

Theorem 4.5 (Our Nakai-Baba theorem)**.** *Let $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism that has an invertible Jacobian. If $\gcd(d_1, d_2) \leq 2$, then F is an automorphism.*

Proof. If $\gcd(d_1, d_2) = 1$, then Theorem 4.4 says that F is an automorphism.

So we assume that $\gcd(d_1, d_2) = 2$. By exactly the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4.4, we have $d_1 = d_2$. Then from $\gcd(d_1, d_2) = 2$, we get that $d_1 = d_2 = 2$. Hence the degree of the field extension $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2, x_1) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2)$ is 2. Since every separable field extension of degree 2 is Galois, we get that $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2)$ is Galois, and we are done by the Galois case theorem (see also Remark 4.2). □

In order to obtain an analogue result to Magnus' corollary, we add an assumption on the form of the minimal polynomial of x_1 over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2)$. (A separable field extension of prime degree $p > 2$ need not be Galois). Without that additional assumption we are not able to show that F is an automorphism, except for the case $d_1 = 2$, which implies that $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2)$ is Galois. Already when $d_1 = 3$,

we do not know how to show that F is an automorphism. (We have discussed $d_1 = 3$ above, between Remark 4.2 and Corollary 4.3. Notice that now $n = 2$, while above $n \geq 2$).

Theorem 4.6 (Our Magnus' corollary)**.** *Let $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism that has an invertible Jacobian. Assume that the coefficients of the minimal polynomial of x_1 over $\mathbb{C}[F_1, F_2]$ are all symmetric or skew-symmetric with respect to the exchange involution α: $\alpha(x_1) = x_2$, $\alpha(x_2) = x_1$. If d_1 or d_2 is a prime number $p \geq 2$, then F is an automorphism.*

Denote the minimal polynomial of x_1 over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2)$ by f_1. By the minimal polynomial of x_1 over $\mathbb{C}[F_1, F_2]$ we mean f_1 multiplied by the lcm of the denominators of the coefficients of f_1 (of course, that lcm exists, since $\mathbb{C}[F_1, F_2]$ is a UFD).

Proof. W.l.o.g. $d_1 = p$. Denote the minimal polynomial of x_1 over $\mathbb{C}[F_1, F_2]$ by $\tilde{f_1}$. Write $\tilde{f_1} = c_p T^p + c_{p-1} T^{p-1} + \ldots + c_1 T + c_0$ (of course, $c_p, c_{p-1}, \ldots, c_1, c_0 \in \mathbb{C}[F_1, F_2]$), so $c_p x_1^p + c_{p-1} x_1^{p-1} + \ldots + c_1 x_1 + c_0 = 0$. By our assumption, the coefficients $c_p, c_{p-1}, \ldots, c_1, c_0$ are all symmetric or skew-symmetric with respect to the exchange involution α.

(1) Symmetric case: $0 = \alpha(c_p x_1^p + c_{p-1} x_1^{p-1} + \ldots + c_1 x_1 + c_0) = c_p \alpha(x_1^p) + c_{p-1}\alpha(x_1^{p-1}) + \ldots + c_1 \alpha(x_1) + c_0 = c_p x_2^p + c_{p-1} x_2^{p-1} + \ldots + c_1 x_2 + c_0$. Namely, $\tilde{f_1}(x_2) = 0$, so f_1 is also the minimal polynomial of x_2 over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2)$. Hence x_1 and x_2 are conjugates (= both have the same minimal polynomial). We can apply the following nice result in Galois theory: "Let $k(a)/k$ be a separable field extension of prime degree. Assume that there exists a conjugate b to a such that $b \in k(a)$. Then $k(a)/k$ is Galois"; several proofs for this result can be found in [27]. Here: $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2)(x_1)/\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2)$ is a separable field extension of prime degree p. We have just obtained that x_2 is conjugate to x_1, and $x_2 \in \mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2) = \mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2)(x_1)$ (by Formanek's field of fractions theorem). Therefore, $\mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2)/\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2) = \mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2)(x_1)/\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2)$ is Galois, and we are done.

(2) Skew-symmetric case: $0 = \alpha(c_p x_1^p + c_{p-1} x_1^{p-1} + \ldots + c_1 x_1 + c_0) = -c_p \alpha(x_1^p) - c_{p-1}\alpha(x_1^{p-1}) - \ldots - c_1 \alpha(x_1) - c_0 = -c_p x_2^p - c_{p-1} x_2^{p-1} - \ldots - c_1 x_2 - c_0$. Namely, $\tilde{f_1}(x_2) = 0$, so f_1 is also the minimal polynomial of x_2 over $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2)$. Hence x_1 and x_2 are conjugates. Again, by the nice result in Galois theory [27], we get that $\mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2)/\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2)$ is Galois.

□

Then, almost analogously to the theorem of Appelgate-Onishi-Nagata [16, Theorem 10.2.26] we have the following theorem ('Almost analogously', because we do not know what happens when $\gcd(d_1, d_2) \in \{4, 6, 8\}$).

Theorem 4.7 (Our Appelgate-Onishi-Nagata theorem)**.** *Let $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism that has an invertible Jacobian. Assume that the coefficients of the minimal polynomial of x_1 over $\mathbb{C}[F_1, F_2]$ are all symmetric or skew-symmetric with respect to the exchange involution α: $\alpha(x_1) = x_2$, $\alpha(x_2) = x_1$. If $\gcd(d_1, d_2) = 1$ or $\gcd(d_1, d_2) = p$, where $p \geq 2$ is a prime number, then F is an automorphism.*

Notice that Theorem 4.7 includes the previous theorems: 4.4, Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.6.

Proof. If $\gcd(d_1, d_2) = 1$, then from Theorem 4.4 F is an automorphism (even without the additional assumption on the coefficients of the minimal polynomial of x_1 over $\mathbb{C}[F_1, F_2]$).

So assume that $\gcd(d_1, d_2) = p$, where $p \geq 2$ is a prime number. From Formanek's field of fractions theorem, $\mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2, x_1) = \mathbb{C}(F_1, F_2, x_2) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2)$, so $d_1 = d_2$. Then, $d_1 = d_2 = \gcd(d_1, d_2) = p$. Therefore we can apply Theorem 4.6 and get that F is an automorphism. □

4.2.1 Magnus' theorem in higher dimensions Magnus' theorem and its corollary and its generalizations are for $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$. We are not familiar with similar results for $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $n \geq 3$, probably because it is difficult to prove such results.

Luckily, it is not difficult to adjust our Theorem 4.4 to higher dimensions:

Theorem 4.8. *Let $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism that has an invertible Jacobian. If $\gcd(d_u, d_v) = 1$, $1 \leq u \neq v \leq n$, for each of the $\binom{n}{2}$ pairs of degrees, then F is an automorphism.*

When $n = 2$, this is just Theorem 4.4.

Proof. Denote by D the degree of the field extension $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Formanek's field of fractions theorem says that $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n, x_{m_1}, \ldots, x_{m_{n-1}}) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, for any $m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1} \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then, since $\gcd(d_u, d_v) = 1$, $1 \leq u \neq v \leq n$, for each of the $\binom{n}{2}$ pairs of degrees, it follows that $D = d_{m_1} \cdots d_{m_{n-1}}$, for any $m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1} \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then clearly, $d_u = d_v$, $1 \leq u \neq v \leq n$, for each of the $\binom{n}{2}$ pairs of degrees (so $d_1 = \ldots = d_n$). From $\gcd(d_u, d_v) = 1$, we immediately get $d_u = d_v = 1$, so $d_1 = \ldots = d_n = 1$. It follows that $D = 1$, hence $\mathbb{C}(F_1, \ldots, F_n) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, and we are done by Keller's theorem. □

In view of Theorem 4.8 and Magnus' theorem we conjecture:

Conjecture 4.9 (n-GCD Conjecture)**.** *Let $F : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endomorphism that has an invertible Jacobian. Denote the degree of F_i by l_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $\gcd(l_u, l_v) = 1$, $1 \leq u \neq v \leq n$, for each of the $\binom{n}{2}$ pairs of degrees, then F is an automorphism.*

Obviously, the theorem of Magnus says that the 2-GCD Conjecture is true. However, the 3-GCD Conjecture seems more difficult to prove. The proof of the 2-GCD Conjecture uses some results about Newton polygons in dimension 2, see [16, Section 10.2], so a proof of the 3-GCD Conjecture (if true) should probably use analogous results about Newton polygons in dimension 3. We guess that if the 3-GCD Conjecture is true, then the n-GCD Conjecture is also true for all n.

References

[1] S. S. Abhyankar, *Expansion techniques in algebraic geometry*, Tata Inst. Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1977.

[2] S. S. Abhyankar, *Some remarks on the Jacobian Conjecture*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) 104, no.3, 515-542, 1994.

[3] H. Appelgate, H. Onishi, *The Jacobian Conjecture in two variables*, J. of Pure and App. Algebra 37, 215-227, 1985.

[4] K. Baba, Y. Nakai, *A generalization of Magnus' theorem*, Osaka J. Math. 14, 403-409. 1977.

[5] H. Bass, E. Connell and D. Wright, *The Jacobian Conjecture: reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (New Series) 7, 287-330, 1982.

[6] H. Bass, *Differential structure of étale extensions of polynomial algebras*, in Commutative Algebra, M. Hochster, C. Huncke and J. D. Sally (ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989, Proceedings of a Microprogram Held, June 15-July 2, 1987.

[7] L. A. Campbell, *A condition for a polynomial map to be invertible*, Math. Ann. 205, 243-248, 1973.

[8] A. Cima, A. Gasull, J. Llibre and F. Mañosas, *Global injectivity of polynomial maps via vector fields*, pages 105-123 in Automorphisms of affine spaces, Proceedings of a conference held in Curaçao (Netherlands Antilles), July 4-8, 1994, under the auspices of the Caribbean Mathematical Foundation (CMF), Kluwer academic publishers, 1995.

[9] D. Daigle, *Locally nilpotent derivations*, Lecture notes for the "September School" of Algebraic Geometry, Łukęcin, Poland, September 2003, http://aix1.uottawa.ca/∼ddaigle/Lukecin03/LukecinDaigle.pdf
[10] L. M. Drużkowski, *An effective approach to Keller's Jacobian Conjecture*, Math. Ann. 264, 303-313, 1983.
[11] L. M. Drużkowski, *The Jacobian Conjecture in case of rank or corank less than three*, J. of Pure and App. Algebra 85, 233-244, 1993.
[12] L. M. Drużkowski, *The Jacobian Conjecture: Some steps towards solution*, pages 41-54 in Automorphisms of affine spaces, Proceedings of a conference held in Curaçao (Netherlands Antilles), July 4-8, 1994, under the auspices of the Caribbean Mathematical Foundation (CMF), Kluwer academic publishers, 1995.
[13] L. M. Drużkowski, K. Rusek, *The real Jacobian Conjecture for cubic linear maps of rank two*, Universitatis Iagellonicae Acta Mathematica, Fasciculus XXXII, 1995.
[14] A. van den Essen, *Seven lectures on polynomial automorphisms*, pages 3-39 in Automorphisms of affine spaces, Proceedings of a conference held in Curaçao (Netherlands Antilles), July 4-8, 1994, under the auspices of the Caribbean Mathematical Foundation (CMF), Kluwer academic publishers, 1995.
[15] A. van den Essen, *To believe or not to believe: The Jacobian Conjecture*, Rend. Sem. Math. Univ. Poi. Torino; Jacobian Conj. and Dyn. Syst. 55, no. 4, 283-290, 1997.
[16] A. van den Essen, *Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture*, Progress in Mathematics, 190. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000.
[17] E. Formanek, *Two notes on the Jacobian Conjecture*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 49, 286-291, 1987.
[18] E. Formanek, *Observations about the Jacobian Conjecture*, Houston J. Math. 20, no. 3, 369-380, 1994.
[19] E. Formanek, *Bass's work on the Jacobian Conjecture*, Contemporary Mathematics 243, 37-45, 1999.
[20] E. Hamann, *Algebraic observations on the Jacobian Conjecture*, J. of Algebra 265, no. 2, 539-561, 2003.
[21] P. Jedrzejewicz, J. Zieliński, *Analogs of Jacobian conditions for subrings*, arXiv:1601.01508v1 [math.AC] 7 Jan 2016.
[22] P. I. Katsylo, *The degrees of polynomial self mappings of* C^2, Math. Zametki. 63, 527-534, 1998.
[23] O. H. Keller, *Ganze Cremona-Transformationen*, Monatsh. Math. Phys. 47, 299-306, 1939.
[24] J. Lang, S. Maslamani, *Some results on the Jacobian Conjecture in higher dimension*, J. of Pure and Applied Algebra 94, 327-330, 1994.
[25] A. Magnus, *On polynomial solutions of a differential equation*, Math. Scand. 3, 255-260, 1955.
[26] L. Makar-Limanov, *Locally nilpotent derivatives, a new ring invariant and applications*, http://www.math.wayne.edu/∼lml/lmlnotes.pdf, 1995.
[27] Mathematics Stack Exchange, http://math.stackexchange.com/questions/118106/how-to-show-that-a-prime-degree-separable-field-extension-containing-a-nontrivia. http://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1525470/show-that-k-k-is-galois
[28] T. T. Moh, *On the Jacobian Conjecture and the configurations of roots*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 340, 140-212, 1983.
[29] V. Moskowicz, *The starred Dixmier's conjecture*, arXiv:1310.7562v4 [math.RA] 18 Feb 2014.
[30] M. Nagata, *Two-dimensional Jacobian Conjecture*, Kyoto Uni., 153-172, 1990.
[31] S. Oda, *The Jacobian problem and the simply-connectedness of* A^n *over a field* k *of characteristic zero*, Osaka Univ., 1980.
[32] M. Razar, *Polynomial maps with constant Jacobian*, Israel J. of Math. 32, 97-106, 1979.
[33] L. H. Rowen, *Graduate Algebra: Commutative View*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume 73, American Mathematical Society, 2006.
[34] K. Rusek, *A geometric approach to Keller's Jacobian Conjecture*, Math. Ann. 264, 315-320, 1983.
[35] T. T. Truong, *Some approaches toward the Jacobian Conjecture*, arXiv:1503.08733v3 [math.AG] 7 Oct 2015.
[36] S. S.-S. Wang, *A Jacobian criterion for separability*, J. of Algebra 65, 453-494, 1980.
[37] S. S.-S. Wang, *Extension of derivations*, J. of Algebra 69, 240-246, 1981.
[38] C. C.-A. Cheng, J. H. Mckay and S. S.-S. Wang, *Younger mates and the Jacobian conjecture*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123, no. 10, 2939-2947, 1995.
[39] D. Wright, *On the Jacobian conjecture*, Illinois J. Math. 25, 423-440, 1981.
[40] A. V. Yagzhev, *On Keller's problem*, Siberian Math. J. 21, 747-754, 1980.
[41] D. Yan, *A note on the Jacobian Conjecture*, Lin. Alg. and its App. 435, 2110-2113, 2011.

[42] Y. Zhang, *The Jacobian Conjecture and the degree of field extension*, PhD thesis, Purdue University, 1991.

Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel.
E-mail address: vered.moskowicz@gmail.com